

Editorial Board Meeting 2009 05

Editorial Board Meeting 2009 05

Last meeting: [Editorial Board Meeting 2008 10](#) | Next meeting: [Editorial Board Meeting 2009 06](#)

Motions passed in the last meeting

- *to be copied here from that page*

Motions defeated in the last meeting

- *to be copied here from that page*

1. Motions Carried Over

- *to be copied here from that page*

2. New Motions

2.1. Change doc.tw.o menus

Motion: Change menus according to doc.tw.o revamp proposal page: <http://tikiwiki.org/doctwo+revamp> .

Discussion: see that [doctwo revamp](#) page.

marc: I hope top menu will one day be used for [global *.tiki.org navigation](#)

In Favor: Rick, Xavi, luci

Opposed:

Undecided: lindon (I like having the [All the Documentation](#) site map link somewhere, also like the current choices under Author Resources. Depending on how reduced TOC in side menu looks, some keywords might still be helpful since lots of things are covered in keywords that wouldn't show in a reduced TOC or freetags. Otherwise menu proposals seem okay.)

2.2. Change doc.tw.o modules

Motion: Change modules according to [doctwo revamp](#) proposal page.

Discussion: see that [doctwo revamp](#) page.

In Favor: Rick, Xavi

Opposed: lindon (I don't mean that i completely oppose but i do like the registered users online, and search by page name modules for registered users. Also assume the developer menu would remain for those users.)

2.3. categories for status

are there but not being used. Do we use? or do we nuke?

I (ricks99) would like to continue to use them (to alert readers as to the "correctness" of a particular page). However I see a potential issue: How can we "split" the category of a page when using the VERSIONS plugin? For example, the 2.x information may be "LIVE", but the 3.x information may be "TO DO." Currently (I think), Tiki allows only 1:1 — category:page.

I (ricks99) would also like to see the categories be simplified. Maybe something like:

1. Stub — Newly generated page; no real content.
2. In Progress — Being worked on, not up-to-date or complete.
3. In Review — Content complete. Needs to be reviewed/verified.
4. Complete — Page is done & published.

Maybe also use Staging feature?

lindon wrote:

I could take or leave the categories for users, but find the backlinks method used in [documentation status](#) and the other tools marc has set up (like [All plugins](#)) to be the best for authors.

2.4. Remove en-uk as a possible language

just causes confusion. When we filter by language, there are uk english pages which are not really different to English pages
There is no en-uk version for Wikipedia, with [over 250 languages](#)

In favor:

marclaporte

Opposed: luci

-1 — **color** is different than **colour** (ricks99)

2.5. Remove my footnotes

to simplify interface
need to check in db if any data

Discussion:

+1, i never used it myself — luci

-1, I like having it. It allows contributors to work on pages without actually editing the "real" content. *If* we implement the Staging system to doc.tw.o, then +1 from me (ricks99)

-1, I haven't used it but think it could be useful. Not sure if staging would replace it for me.

2.6. Use proposal plugin for future EBM

Use proposal plugin for future EBM

Accept	Undecided	Reject
5	0	0

- marclaporte
- xavi
- luci
- ricks99
- lindon

Next meeting: [June 2009](#)